

Ronald Filmer

00:00 - 00:02

This conference will be recorded.

Ronald Filmer

00:05 - 00:07

Schoharie County IDA Meeting is called to order.

Ronald Filmer

00:07 - 00:10

Ronald Filmer

00:11 - 00:17

The first order of business would be to review and approve the September 16 to 20 20 minutes.

Ronald Filmer

00:19 - 00:19

Yes.

Ronald Filmer

00:23 - 00:24

Ron reviewers.

Ronald Filmer

00:24 - 00:25

Is there a motion to approve?

Chet Burton

00:27 - 00:27

Yes

Joe

00:27 - 00:28

So Moved.

Chet Burton

00:29 - 00:30

Second, and.

Peter Johnson

00:31 - 00:32

I'll second that.

Ronald Filmer

00:35 - 00:35

All in favor?

Ronald Filmer

00:36 - 00:36

Aye.

Peter Johnson

00:36 - 00:37

Aye.

Ronald Filmer

00:40 - 00:44

The next item of business we have is to appoint a chairman.

Ronald Filmer

00:45 - 00:48

I will act as our nominating committee.

Ronald Filmer

00:48 - 00:55

And I'd like to suggest, nominate, Chester Burton, the Vice Chair to the chairman.

Ronald Filmer

00:59 - 01:00

Is there a motion

Ronald Filmer

01:01 - 01:03

Make that move, I have talked to Chet and he is willing.

Ronald Filmer

01:04 - 01:05

He hasn't stepped back or anything?

Ronald Filmer

01:06 - 01:07

Oh.

Joe

01:07 - 01:08

So moved.

Peter Johnson

01:09 - 01:10

I'll second that.

Ronald Filmer

01:10 - 01:12

Peter, second, all in favor?

wanda

01:12 - 01:13

Aye.

Ronald Filmer

01:15 - 01:23

By doing that action, we have a vacancy, for Vice Chair, and Wanda as a treasure.

Ronald Filmer

01:23 - 01:27

So essentially, I'd like to have Peter or Joe think about vice chair.

Ronald Filmer

01:30 - 01:35

Vice Chair, and I would take any conversation related to that.

Peter Johnson

01:37 - 01:40

I will defer to Joe as Vice Chair.

Ronald Filmer

01:45 - 01:46

..., alright.

Ronald Filmer

01:46 - 01:47

Well, you are.

Joe

01:48 - 01:48

Yeah.

Joe

01:48 - 01:49

It's all right.

Chet Burton

01:55 - 01:56

Joe.

Ronald Filmer

01:59 - 02:01

Wand, except Joe is vice chair.

wanda

02:02 - 02:02

I'll second.

Ronald Filmer

02:05 - 02:05

All in favor.

Peter Johnson

02:06 - 02:06

Aye.

Peter Johnson

02:06 - 02:07

Aye.

Ronald Filmer

02:09 - 02:09

So moved.

Ronald Filmer

02:12 - 02:18

Moving on to the next item is to review the Middleburg Meadows and Sharon Springs Inc projects.

Ronald Filmer

02:19 - 02:30

Oh, I requested a status update from SSI, and at this time, SSI is still working with the bank in an effort to secure financing.

Ronald Filmer

02:31 - 02:40

They are waiting to formally approach us as the idea, until they finalize and obtain an answer on this financing.

Ronald Filmer

02:40 - 02:43

Therefore, at this time, we do not have a formal request.

Ronald Filmer

02:43 - 02:47

I will say, there are representatives here, I've talked to me weekly.

Ronald Filmer

02:48 - 03:01

And, as of yesterday, they put in formerly once again, a business plan, which they had already done, but an updated financial projections to the bank.

Ronald Filmer

03:02 - 03:04

Oh, I don't know their timeframe.

Ronald Filmer

03:04 - 03:07

It's getting shorter and shorter as a year and approaches us.

Ronald Filmer

03:09 - 03:14

They know where we're at, and, and that's the status, as we speak.

Ronald Filmer

03:18 - 03:19

Anybody can make a comment, or?

Ronald Filmer

03:20 - 03:20

Yeah.

Joe

03:22 - 03:26

Well, wait, when, when do we have to make a decision on this?

Joe

03:26 - 03:27

When I.

Ronald Filmer

03:28 - 03:31

Think the sooner, The better would be, I mean, I would like it by year end.

Ronald Filmer

03:31 - 03:36

I don't know if it has to be extended their benefits until the sales tax letter.

Ronald Filmer

03:36 - 03:44

So 12 31 was the jobs are also supposed to be completed by 12 31 20

Ronald Filmer

03:46 - 03:49

And neither one is going to happen.

Ronald Filmer

03:49 - 03:56

And also: the pilot will go keep going unless we.

Chet Burton

03:57 - 03:57

Take.

Ronald Filmer

03:57 - 03:57

Action.

Ronald Filmer

04:00 - 04:03

And more expansion.

Ronald Filmer

04:03 - 04:06

Well I suppose you could work into the early next year.

Ronald Filmer

04:07 - 04:09

The worst-case scenario.

Chet Burton

04:10 - 04:10

Is.

Ronald Filmer

04:10 - 04:10

That.

Ronald Filmer

04:14 - 04:18

They won't, they won't have an answer for probably.

Ronald Filmer

04:21 - 04:25

Maybe they'll have, I don't know how fast.

Ronald Filmer

04:27 - 04:28

And what they have.

Ronald Filmer

04:29 - 04:38

I do know that, you know, the real estate taxes are due obviously in January for the town and county, and they do jump the pilot program that we have.

Ronald Filmer

04:40 - 04:51

Know, relatively significantly, I don't have the absolute dollar amount, but I know for the year, they jumped from about eight grand, roughly to 48, So everybody significantly gets an increase.

Ronald Filmer

04:51 - 04:55

Now, that's what happens in January.

Ronald Filmer

04:56 - 04:58

So, I think we got, you know, I think I'll keep working on them.

Ronald Filmer

04:59 - 05:07

And, hopefully, they'll have some answer maybe by the next meeting, presumably maybe in the middle or late November, for us.

Ronald Filmer

05:08 - 05:14

And, at that point, if they still don't have an answer, we may have to, you know, discuss it further.

Joe

05:18 - 05:20

Reasonable, That's reasonable.

Joe

05:21 - 05:28

As far as I'm concerned, if they don't have financing, I don't know what there is to discuss at this point.

wanda

05:30 - 05:34

Ron, if we wait till the end of November to make whatever we're going to do.

wanda

05:36 - 05:36

Yeah.

Ronald Filmer

05:38 - 05:39

Yeah.

Ronald Filmer

05:39 - 05:40

Sure.

Ronald Filmer

05:40 - 05:41

I mean, it makes it tight.

Ronald Filmer

05:42 - 05:51

Know, obviously, once you get into December, it probably will know, won't give you time to be, well, to finish it by December 31st, you can make a decision.

Ronald Filmer

05:51 - 05:52

It just won't.

Ronald Filmer

05:53 - 05:53

No.

Ronald Filmer

05:54 - 05:56

Be formalized until paperwork is done.

Ronald Filmer

05:57 - 05:59

No votes are made, and et cetera.

Ronald Filmer

06:01 - 06:03

So November's, not horrible by any means.

Ronald Filmer

06:04 - 06:06

Just makes it no more.

Ronald Filmer

06:08 - 06:16

And, you know, we have to have kind of the contact, the village, a school in the town bergamot, which we like to do, and we always try to do.

Joe

06:21 - 06:30

Have, have they commented at all, I mean, it seems to me that, that they should be concerned about this also, and even more so, than we are.

Ronald Filmer

06:32 - 06:35

Yes, I mean, they know what's happening at this stage.

Ronald Filmer

06:36 - 06:41

But, they really, I don't think they've taken it to the boards, you know, to have a full discussion.

Ronald Filmer

06:42 - 06:44

So, I don't have the full analysis from them.

Ronald Filmer

06:45 - 06:47

I mean, there's certainly aware of it.

Ronald Filmer

06:47 - 06:48

I've talked to him.

Joe

06:51 - 07:11

But that isn't that the problem, that, that their bureaucracy, the county, the, the, the schools, the village, the town, they have to go through there, meetings and board meetings, and if we wait till November, and they haven't done anything, where, I don't see how any decision, you know.

Joe

07:11 - 07:15

At least, as far as they're concerned, could be finalized.

Joe

07:16 - 07:17

Anyway, well.

Ronald Filmer

07:17 - 07:22

They'd have their monthly meeting in December, so, they know they could discuss it.

Ronald Filmer

07:23 - 07:31

And, in this case, the amendment itself, it's not as time consuming as an initial project, would be.

Joe

07:32 - 07:32

Ok.

Ronald Filmer

07:33 - 07:34

But, you're right.

Ronald Filmer

07:34 - 07:35

It still gets down to the timing.

Ronald Filmer

07:37 - 07:40

No November's, you only have December.

Ronald Filmer

07:41 - 07:45

Discuss unless you lag, unless you go into the new year.

Ronald Filmer

07:47 - 07:49

I'd rather not do that personally.

Ronald Filmer

07:49 - 07:50

I don't think anybody would.

Ronald Filmer

07:51 - 07:54

I'm going into the new year because it could throw a wrench in any plans.

Ronald Filmer

07:57 - 07:59

And you schedule the town and gown in my head with her mind.

Ronald Filmer

08:01 - 08:06

Although fortunately, it's not a huge, you know, budget breaker for anybody.

Ronald Filmer

08:07 - 08:10

May sound like a gas company, given a million dollars.

Ronald Filmer

08:10 - 08:13

No, it's, it's in the low fat houses.

[speaker unknown]:

08:18 - 08:27

You've kept them apprised of the I don't know if the right word is likelihood that this might not happen where they might not get financing?

[speaker unknown]:

08:28 - 08:28

Correct, Ron.

Ronald Filmer

08:29 - 08:44

I have one reason they haven't come back to us yet was a formal letter, or any request is the local folks want to secure financing before they come before us.

Ronald Filmer

08:45 - 08:59



Simply because we've alluded and these specifically carried a message that, you know, without financing, you know, I think there'll be a question mark on extending it and they know that yep.

wanda

09:01 - 09:09

Month's time is not a lot to put that financing package together and get an answer, and we'll get it anywhere near to closing.

wanda

09:09 - 09:14

I mean, that's, that's really, really short time, because they haven't even got any sort of a commitment or anything.

wanda

09:15 - 09:16

Yeah, thank you, Emily.

Ronald Filmer

09:18 - 09:19

No, I don't know.

wanda

09:20 - 09:21

That's really stretch and.

Ronald Filmer

09:22 - 09:24

They will not have a closing before December 31st.

Ronald Filmer

09:24 - 09:25

I'm quite sure that.

Ronald Filmer

09:25 - 09:27

The question is, will they have a commitment letter?

wanda

09:31 - 09:34

No other way, about 100 conditions.

Ronald Filmer

09:36 - 09:37

That could very well be.

Chet Burton

09:40 - 09:43

What are the implications if we go into the next year?

Ronald Filmer

09:46 - 09:48

Well, if it's if it's off.

Ronald Filmer

09:49 - 09:56

I'm not sure I have all the implications, but I know if we go within a month or two or the next year, I don't think that would harm anything.

Ronald Filmer

09:57 - 10:10

In essence, but, you know, obviously, the longer, no issue, if the pile is supposed to end, or they're supposed to maintain and performed by December 31st and don't, I mean, you wouldn't want to drag into June, for instance.

Ronald Filmer

10:11 - 10:14

I, you know, the end of January, I don't think, is an issue.

Ronald Filmer

10:16 - 10:19

This is the longer it goes, the more it just languishes.

Chet Burton

10:21 - 10:21

Ok.

Ronald Filmer

10:26 - 10:27

That's where we are.

Ronald Filmer

10:27 - 10:34

So, although, certainly keep everybody update and even add anything before the next meeting as we go, if I get a letter of Senate.

Ronald Filmer

10:36 - 10:38

For request, you know, Inform everybody.

Ronald Filmer

10:40 - 10:45

Moving on to the Middleburg, Medows, you have a request for an extension.

Ronald Filmer

10:45 - 10:47

I think everybody has a copy of all, of their request.

Ronald Filmer

10:48 - 10:49

Um.

Ronald Filmer

10:52 - 10:55

I asked earlier, referring the situation with the legal.

Ronald Filmer

10:57 - 11:00

He'll need to, your actions and bring it before.

Ronald Filmer

11:03 - 11:05

Now, before I go to the jurisdiction.

Chet Burton

11:06 - 11:06

You don't.

Ronald Filmer

11:06 - 11:13

Have to go into this is a village to village project also to village, town, county, and school.

Ronald Filmer

11:15 - 11:16

I will run it by them.

Ronald Filmer

11:17 - 11:28

But as no on the housing portion of this project was not completed, for would not be completed by 12 31 warning, the store was completed and especially being managed.

Ronald Filmer

11:28 - 11:29

And the jobs are there.

Ronald Filmer

11:30 - 11:34

The extension time for the housing project would allow that, appears to be completed.

Ronald Filmer

11:35 - 11:37

You're asking for three years.

Ronald Filmer

11:37 - 11:40

The company is asking for extension at time with.

Ronald Filmer

11:40 - 11:42

No modifications to the pilot payments.

Ronald Filmer

11:43 - 11:48

As far as the financial part, it will remain the same as already in the pilot.

Ronald Filmer

11:51 - 11:55

So, I would open up for questions or comments on that.

Ronald Filmer

12:06 - 12:09

My plan, for instance, would be to go and I will speak well to.

Ronald Filmer

12:11 - 12:15

Bring it back, maybe November meeting.

Ronald Filmer

12:17 - 12:19

And see what everybody wishes to do.

Ronald Filmer

12:20 - 12:22

Know, what we can do is, we can reject their request.

Ronald Filmer

12:22 - 12:26

We can approve the request or approve the request for modification.

Ronald Filmer

12:28 - 12:32

And modifications possibly could be years.

Chet Burton

12:33 - 12:33

That.

Ronald Filmer

12:33 - 12:38

Always, Well, we'll extend it well the last two years, or whatever.

Ronald Filmer

12:41 - 12:44

Food for thought scenario.

Joe

12:47 - 12:48

Rhonda's store is.

Chet Burton

12:48 - 12:49

Successful so far.

Chet Burton

12:52 - 12:52

As.

Ronald Filmer

12:52 - 12:57

I know, Joe is, I mean, they report jobs in the building.

Ronald Filmer

12:57 - 12:58

They're meeting their minimum jobs.

Ronald Filmer

12:59 - 13:01

A few, a few jobs over that, actually.

Ronald Filmer

13:01 - 13:12

Minimum is 25 or the goal is 25, and they have about 28 or 9 FTE and it seems like it's operating, as far as I know are very happy with it.

Joe

13:15 - 13:16

Well, that's encouraging.

Joe

13:16 - 13:22

I think that's an encouraging indication that these people know how to do business.

Joe

13:22 - 13:36

And and that if the story is successful and based on what the letter says, you know, the housing, wow, what situation with the pandemic day people are moving moving to the country, et cetera.

Joe

13:36 - 13:42

I think that's an encouraging situation, especially that the story successful.

Chet Burton

13:42 - 13:44

Oh, I.

Ronald Filmer

13:44 - 13:45

Agree.

Peter Johnson

13:50 - 13:55

I would hate to pensively middleburg meadows.

Peter Johnson

13:56 - 14:03

Corporal airway and Jim Becker are pretty reliable and trustworthy.

Peter Johnson

14:05 - 14:13

I'm not sure if we want to extend it for three years, but I think certainly the request is reasonable.

Chet Burton

14:18 - 14:22

I agree, You know, they've followed up with us was this letter.

Chet Burton

14:22 - 14:25

There's, there's nothing that we're still learning.

Chet Burton

14:32 - 14:39

I would add that, that there certainly seems to be a market for condos based on what's happening across the street from.

Chet Burton

14:41 - 14:45

From our building narrower Mark deduce place He just keeps adding.

Ronald Filmer

14:50 - 14:52

Yeah, that's an indicator, that's a good sign.

Ronald Filmer

14:53 - 14:54

Yeah.

Ronald Filmer

14:58 - 15:11

Well, what I'll do is I think we're on a positive note here, but I will, I will follow up with the municipalities, then report back, put it on the agenda for a go on the next meeting.

[speaker unknown]:

15:16 - 15:16

Yeah.

Ronald Filmer

15:22 - 15:25

Moving on to the solar pilot policy.

Ronald Filmer

15:27 - 15:35

As previously discussed, we are trying to create a policy within our pilot program that specifically addresses for our project.

Ronald Filmer

15:36 - 15:38

At this point, we have two scenarios.

Ronald Filmer

15:38 - 15:44

One is to have a pilot policy, whereby we set an absolute per megawatt hour.

Ronald Filmer

15:45 - 15:49

Which, as discussed, the amount would be roughly \$25,000.

Ronald Filmer

15:49 - 15:51

The County Board has stated in a resolution.

Ronald Filmer

15:52 - 15:59

The other possible avenue would be to have the SCID a NASA at a number, but condition approval of the pilot.

Ronald Filmer

15:59 - 16:05

I want to jurisdictions, evolve, in any specific project, would want to have.

Ronald Filmer

16:07 - 16:26

Once it is decided which policy to undertake, this is more a procedure, we would have to send each jurisdiction or potential change in our policy, allow them to make comment, and then take those comments into consideration and at the next meeting.

Ronald Filmer

16:28 - 16:32

Or however long it takes, It might be December, depending on the time of review.

Ronald Filmer

16:34 - 16:37

So, these comments will then be considered, and then we would have a vote.

Ronald Filmer

16:39 - 16:40

That's, essentially.

Joe

16:40 - 16:40

The policy.

Ronald Filmer

16:42 - 16:43

Go ahead, Joel.

Joe

16:44 - 16:48

Well, I was going to ask, there's a, there's a third option, is not to change it.

Ronald Filmer

16:48 - 16:50

That is correct, verite, yes.

Ronald Filmer

16:53 - 16:55

With that option?

Ronald Filmer

16:57 - 16:59

No, we wouldn't have any special consideration for solar.

Ronald Filmer

17:00 - 17:04

It would be, You have to either do our standard pilot.

Ronald Filmer

17:05 - 17:09

Or, we would deviate from the pilot if they asked for a different number of years.

Ronald Filmer

17:10 - 17:14

I say eight years, because 10 years is our standard.

Ronald Filmer

17:14 - 17:18

Most folks, or most companies, indicate they want a minimum of 15.

Ronald Filmer

17:19 - 17:26

So every time a solar company would ask, if that scenario remains true, no way would be deviated from the policy.

Ronald Filmer

17:28 - 17:31

However, it is an option and it also are enduring that option.

Ronald Filmer

17:31 - 17:38

We we'd go back to each municipality anyway, so in addition we'd go back to that.

Ronald Filmer

17:38 - 17:41

Municipalities are approval.

Joe

17:42 - 17:43

Using that.

Joe

17:43 - 17:47

Supposing we have that option that not changing it.

Joe

17:48 - 17:58

When you do a pilot, the pilot is based on the assessed valuation, right, of the property, and on the solar farms if I'm not, if I'm not mistaken, a lot of the property is removable.

Joe

17:58 - 18:14

So, the assessed valuation aspect to the assessment day, the pilot is is really not, Is really not appropriate because the money is in is in personal property.

Joe

18:14 - 18:15

That's not re removed.

Joe

18:17 - 18:17

Does.

Joe

18:18 - 18:31

If we, if we do not change the policy, do we have the right under the under, under the existing policy to base the pilot not on the assessed valuation but on some other, some other criteria.

Ronald Filmer

18:34 - 18:35

Joye, I'd have to check in on that.

Ronald Filmer

18:36 - 18:51

It usually does go by assessed valuation but usually as a keyword so, I'd have to check with or during but, what you've said so far is correct, you know, you're absolutely correct, the equipment?

Ronald Filmer

18:51 - 18:52

No.

Ronald Filmer

18:53 - 18:58

It's assessed on the value of the project when it's built with any equipment starts appreciating right away.

Ronald Filmer

18:58 - 19:01

I don't know the schedule that appreciation, but then it's gonna go down.

Ronald Filmer

19:02 - 19:04

So, there is an issue with it.

Ronald Filmer

19:05 - 19:06

Assessments.

Joe

19:07 - 19:16

Isn't the equipment, though, not even real property, you know, in other words, it's not a building the Solar equipment, a building is part.

Joe

19:16 - 19:18

It's just like land, you know.

Joe

19:18 - 19:23



It's, it's, it's called real property under the law whereas equipment is removable.

Joe

19:23 - 19:30

They can, they can take these, these panels out, replace them, do whatever they, they, remove them, etcetera.

Joe

19:30 - 19:39

So, that's, I think that's part of the issue with the assessed valuation consideration for pilots in a solar project.

Ronald Filmer

19:44 - 19:46

Yes and I'd have to check on that component.

Ronald Filmer

19:46 - 19:46

Yeah.

Ronald Filmer

19:48 - 19:48

All right.

Peter Johnson

19:52 - 20:10

Another aspect of that, of course, is accelerated appreciation, which it allows them to, to depreciate even more rapidly so that by the time you get to a, a taxable period, there's almost nothing left to tax.

Joe

20:13 - 20:14

That's true.

Joe

20:14 - 20:14

Yeah?

Ronald Filmer

20:16 - 20:18

Yes, even our standard file.

Ronald Filmer

20:18 - 20:19

It takes it 10 years out.

Ronald Filmer

20:20 - 20:24

If it was accelerated, you know, it would be closer.

Joe

20:27 - 20:37

But the fact that the fact that you take a pre depreciation on let's say, a building, does, doesn't affect the actual assessed value.

Joe

20:37 - 20:46

Does it mean that the assessed value is based on what the value is, a willing, buyer and seller, when you take depreciation, you're going into a book value.

Joe

20:46 - 20:46

What?

Joe

20:46 - 20:51

What, what the property is, you know, aren't reflected on the books at the company.

Joe

20:51 - 20:54

So, I'm not sure how that would work.

Ronald Filmer

20:59 - 21:08

I'm not either job because it would and that's why I'll probably wind up with a, you know the company saying it's worth this.

Ronald Filmer

21:09 - 21:12

Then the municipality is saying it's worth that.

Joe

21:13 - 21:13

Simply.

Ronald Filmer

21:13 - 21:19

Because they're not going to lower the assessment on admissibility side because of the value and the income approach.

Ronald Filmer

21:19 - 21:24

The company will say, Yeah, well, I can't resell it for X, because it's depreciated.

Ronald Filmer

21:25 - 21:28

Then you wind up with a discrepancy.

Ronald Filmer

21:31 - 21:38

Which is one reason obviously to set an absolute dollar amount where they don't have, you know, you don't have to worry about the assessment.

Peter Johnson

21:41 - 21:56

I wonder to, one of our objectives is to secure full-time employment and the number of full-time employees with, with, with the solar projects.

Peter Johnson

21:56 - 22:05

Once construction is done, and the construction is usually done by people who come from the outside, there were almost, I don't think there are any full-time employees.

Peter Johnson

22:06 - 22:12

There are people that are brought in to check things every once in awhile, and to mow the lawn.

Peter Johnson

22:12 - 22:27

So, it seems to fall into a very different category, then something like Middleburg Meadows, or even SSI, where we're not going to be generating any real jobs in the future.

Peter Johnson

22:27 - 22:34

So, uh, this is sort of a bonanza for the, for the solar companies.

Peter Johnson

22:34 - 22:35

There's simply no question.

Peter Johnson

22:35 - 22:41

They can really game the tax system pretty pretty sharply.

Ronald Filmer

22:45 - 22:45

Yes.

Ronald Filmer

22:45 - 22:45

In.

Joe

22:45 - 22:51

Fact, the cell, the large solar project into town of Sharon has yet to apply for a pilot.

Peter Johnson

22:51 - 22:52

Right, right.

Joe

22:53 - 22:55

They, They're there.

Joe

22:56 - 23:04

They're probably going to say, well, this is, this is vacant land with, with, with the personal property that can be, that can be removed.

Joe

23:04 - 23:10

That will, so that we, we don't need a pilot because the assessed value should be very low.

Ronald Filmer

23:16 - 23:20

To follow up on your comment about fire, they do plan on putting on an angel.

Ronald Filmer

23:21 - 23:24

They have yet to so correctly, I have not applied yet.

Ronald Filmer

23:26 - 23:32

I suspect it would beginning of the year, if it gets that far, when it gets to FR.

Ronald Filmer

23:39 - 23:45

Well, I guess where we're at is what, what do we want to do or do we need to gather more information?

Ronald Filmer

23:45 - 23:50

I have talked, I've talked to the county, any energy committee chair.

Ronald Filmer

23:50 - 23:55

I was invited to another meeting one day tomorrow after our meeting, of course.

Ronald Filmer

23:55 - 24:02

But, mean, he is still supportive of the dollar amount per megawatt to be, you know, can be included.

Ronald Filmer

24:04 - 24:13

He does not have a problem with the, you know, the negotiation aspect of saying, you know, every municipality can make, you know, have their own mind.

Ronald Filmer

24:14 - 24:19

He likes a dollar amount as a starting point, at least in there, no source recognized.

Ronald Filmer

24:20 - 24:26

But as far as our pilot goes, if we actually adopt a change, it would have to be one or the other.

Ronald Filmer

24:27 - 24:35

It would either have to be you go to the municipalities for that each misspell, a per project, or you set the dollar amount.

Ronald Filmer

24:36 - 24:42

Sorry, If we want to make a change in the pilot, essentially be one of those two methods.

Peter Johnson

24:46 - 24:53

You run, when you refer to the jurisdiction, is it only the town, for instance, the town of

...

Peter Johnson

24:53 - 24:54

For the ...

Peter Johnson

24:54 - 25:00

Project, Or does the county and the school district have a say.

Joe

25:01 - 25:02

In addition, would.

Ronald Filmer

25:02 - 25:04

Have, all of them should have a say theater.

Ronald Filmer

25:05 - 25:06

Well, all three of them.

Peter Johnson

25:06 - 25:07

Ok.

Ronald Filmer

25:07 - 25:15

The talent saint tends to be the lead, but, or mostly in negotiations, I guess, but they're all three should be involved.

Ronald Filmer

25:16 - 25:17

And would be with us if we go.

Peter Johnson

25:23 - 25:45

Well, I like the idea of putting a dollar number there than 25,000 per make, doesn't seem unreasonable, considering the, the tax situation with these large companies and the subsidized nature of this.

Peter Johnson

25:46 - 25:48

This particular industry.

Peter Johnson

25:49 - 25:54

So they're kind of protected begin, being given all sorts of of brakes.

Peter Johnson

25:54 - 26:03

So protecting the local taxpayer seems to B is a pretty important consideration for us.

Joe

26:08 - 26:14

That would basically though, kill solar in our county.

Joe

26:15 - 26:31

If the other, if the state says it's \$5000 and I'm not sure, if I'm not mistaken or on other counties, I don't remember what you said about the other counties or what dollar amounts the IDAs have put in for this sort of thing.

Joe

26:32 - 26:42

But if the surrounding counties, let's say, stay with the state, with the state number, we don't get any solar projects here, that necessarily is a bad thing, but.

Joe

26:45 - 26:46

It would be a fact.

Ronald Filmer

26:49 - 26:51

45 Under 35 are normally glad.

Ronald Filmer

26:53 - 26:54

That's there.

Chet Burton

26:59 - 26:59

So we'd.

Joe

26:59 - 27:01

Be, we'd be 5 times.

Joe

27:02 - 27:05

5 times what the surrounding counties would be.

Ronald Filmer

27:12 - 27:12

That is.

Chet Burton

27:12 - 27:15

Driving by taking the option of negotiating.

Chet Burton

27:17 - 27:29

Um, then the municipality's would have the option of saying, Well, we want 25,000 And so be it.

Chet Burton

27:29 - 27:33

Maybe another one might say, Well, we'll take 17 or 18.

Chet Burton

27:34 - 27:40

And they knew that that's more than they'd get if there was nothing at all, if they walked.

Chet Burton

27:41 - 28:00

So, having the option that kind of an option would give more flexibility to the municipalities, and they can either take the 25,000, that the county has already specified that, or I can tell you they can take as little as the state.

Chet Burton

28:01 - 28:02

Well, that's their option.

Chet Burton

28:05 - 28:08

If they took 5000, it's more than they have now.

Chet Burton

28:09 - 28:14

On land that probably is not being used at all.

Chet Burton

28:18 - 28:21

Seems to be more flexibility that way.

Joe

28:23 - 28:24

I like that approach.

Peter Johnson

28:25 - 28:26

They do too.

Chet Burton

28:29 - 28:30

My guess is, is that?

Chet Burton

28:32 - 28:43

If the county, if the municipalities know that the county is set 25,000 per megawatt, they're gonna go for as much money as they can get.

Chet Burton

28:44 - 28:45

Especially if they don't want it.

Chet Burton

28:48 - 28:53

So, Sharon Springs, I understand that the supervisor really doesn't want it.

Chet Burton

28:54 - 29:00

Well, they may go for the 25,000, but that's their option they can choose to to accept or not.

Ronald Filmer

29:05 - 29:06

Correct.

Chet Burton

29:08 - 29:14

I guess I would favor that over, you know, setting a specific amount.

Chet Burton

29:18 - 29:24

I'd say a lot of not a lot of municipalities, probably, choosing a, while, we want 25,000

Wow.

Chet Burton

29:27 - 29:36

Like Joe says, they're not going to come, They can go over to my gallery, county, or, I'd say, Go County or Delaware and get it for you know, 25 to 5500 per megawatt.

[speaker unknown]:

29:41 - 29:41

Right.

Ronald Filmer

29:41 - 29:47

It just opens up the option for every recounts shifted make their own decision.

Ronald Filmer

29:49 - 29:56

I mean, even though all the town supervisor did vote on this, and there does seem to be, you know, some gray area.

Chet Burton

29:57 - 30:02

So, you're meeting with the, the committee again, tomorrow.

Ronald Filmer

30:02 - 30:03

Yes.

Chet Burton

30:05 - 30:09

Maybe we defer until we find out exactly what physicians are here.

Chet Burton

30:10 - 30:14

Is there any reason that we would need to move on this right away?

Ronald Filmer

30:15 - 30:16

I don't think, Oh, well.

Ronald Filmer

30:17 - 30:29

I don't believe so, and the reason I say that is obviously the next era is just around the corner I do think, you know, there are approvals are I don't know the dates.

Ronald Filmer

30:29 - 30:34

Are there other approvals for siting and variances and zoning and and that type of thing.

Ronald Filmer

30:34 - 30:35

But I think they're shortly.

Ronald Filmer

30:36 - 30:38

And when that happens, you know, there will be here.

Ronald Filmer

30:39 - 30:41

I would just like to.

Ronald Filmer

30:43 - 30:44

No sort of unfairness.

Ronald Filmer

30:44 - 30:48

You know, have had, at least, here's our position, what I got it.

Ronald Filmer

30:48 - 30:56

But, yeah, at this point, I don't think waiting 3 or 4 weeks is going to really do any harm as far as that goes.

Chet Burton

30:58 - 31:00

Well, I'd suggest maybe wait until the next meeting.

Chet Burton

31:02 - 31:04

Do we have that information?



Peter Johnson

31:06 - 31:12

But run, when you meet with them, could you advance the chats compromise here?

Peter Johnson

31:12 - 31:20

That may be, what we do is put 25 in, but leave the final decision up to the municipality.

Peter Johnson

31:20 - 31:24

If they want to negotiate higher or lower.

Peter Johnson

31:24 - 31:28

It's up to them, and we would follow their, their lead.

Peter Johnson

31:29 - 31:29

Is that?

Peter Johnson

31:29 - 31:32

Is that your your compromise idea, Chad?

Chet Burton

31:34 - 31:39

Well, yes, but I wouldn't, I don't know if I'd put it in the 25,000?

Chet Burton

31:39 - 31:46

I think the town and the county has already specified 25,000 all the supervisors know what the county is recommended.

Chet Burton

31:49 - 31:52

So, I don't know if we have to put in the 25,000.

Ronald Filmer

31:55 - 31:56

I also, actually, I don't think we can.

Ronald Filmer

31:57 - 32:07

I mean, we have to either pick one, pick the 25, or pick the ability for the salaries, would make the roll, So it is basically one or the other?

Ronald Filmer

32:08 - 32:11

Essentially, can't have it both ways, know.

Chet Burton

32:11 - 32:16

That, what is that were legal, says Roth, OK?

Joe

32:17 - 32:22

And the county could change that \$25,000 number, next year.

Joe

32:22 - 32:25

State, if they choose to, show.

Joe

32:27 - 32:30

Or whenever they, whenever they wanted to, they could change that number, so.

Ronald Filmer

32:32 - 32:33

That's correct.

Ronald Filmer

32:33 - 32:41

And we would have to we would, you know, if we fall to, we would changes, as well, which they have to go through our administrative procedures to do so.

Ronald Filmer

32:42 - 32:43

Yeah.

Joe

32:45 - 32:45

Sorry.

Ronald Filmer

32:46 - 32:46

Go ahead.

Chet Burton

32:47 - 32:55

So, here, everything except specifying 25,000 would be my recommendation or suggestion.

Peter Johnson

32:58 - 33:07

Now, let us see what, what the reaction there is to Ron proposing that to the supervisors?

Chet Burton

33:09 - 33:10

Yes, I agree.

Ronald Filmer

33:11 - 33:18

As far as the length, the term of the pilot, I mean, for the most part, we've always been just 10 years, right, Ron?

Ronald Filmer

33:18 - 33:19

Correct.

Ronald Filmer

33:20 - 33:28

Mean, I think we just stick with the 10 years, or our proposal would be the stick with that, as opposed to stretching it out to 15.

Joe

33:31 - 33:31

Well, I.

Ronald Filmer

33:31 - 33:34

Know everybody, I know the solar companies are going to want that, Dean minimum.

Ronald Filmer

33:35 - 33:40

Not that we have to follow that, but where they're Financing Plans?

Ronald Filmer

33:42 - 33:46

And so, probably would remain, probably, would go that route.

Ronald Filmer

33:46 - 33:47

We would set a year date.

Ronald Filmer

33:47 - 33:53

So it wouldn't vary, or wouldn't be no, 15 or 5, or?

Ronald Filmer

33:56 - 33:57

I have to check on that, Jeff.

Ronald Filmer

33:57 - 34:01

I'd like to check on C, which we, well, how much variance we could do on that.

Chet Burton

34:02 - 34:02

Millimeter.

Ronald Filmer

34:03 - 34:04

We're trying to get away from deviating.

Ronald Filmer

34:04 - 34:08

So want to make sure it's tied it up as much as possible.

Chet Burton

34:10 - 34:17

Because they can always apply it, I mean, if they're still around for another 10 after the initial 10 has done, which is what, like Tennessee Gas has done.

Joe

34:19 - 34:19

Wal-mart.

Chet Burton

34:24 - 34:33

Is it possible to say that, you know, our thing is, our limit is 10, but can be negotiated.

Chet Burton

34:36 - 34:37

Up, minimum is 10.

Chet Burton

34:41 - 34:46

Rather than meetings And say, you know, 15 right off the bat.

Chet Burton

34:50 - 34:55

Maybe just stay the minimum and then we don't have to say anything about negotiation.

Chet Burton

34:57 - 34:59

For solar, this is just for solar, right?

Ronald Filmer

35:00 - 35:00

Correct.

Ronald Filmer

35:01 - 35:05

It would be a subset inside policy, so the overall policy.

wanda

35:08 - 35:14

Think you need to find out Round two, if that would satisfy their financing source, if that language was used.

wanda

35:14 - 35:16

Or if they had to have specific years.

Ronald Filmer

35:22 - 35:23

I agree.

Ronald Filmer

35:23 - 35:28

It's like, what gas, even though it's can, I mean, there's language in there that protects are used to protect them.

Ronald Filmer

35:28 - 35:35

In fact, they did some improvements to the, to the ground, like with additional pump stations and such.

Ronald Filmer

35:36 - 35:42

And then that wouldn't come into play until after the the existing pilot was over.

Ronald Filmer

35:50 - 35:51

Great indicate.

Ronald Filmer

35:51 - 35:51

Yes, Jeff.

[speaker unknown]:

35:56 - 35:57

Stretch out to 15.

Chet Burton

35:57 - 36:02

And if they started, would like, I don't know, 5 or 10 panels.

Chet Burton

36:02 - 36:14

And then all of a sudden, during the, during the term of the IO, which might be fit in a fee added on another 10 or 20 of them, I mean, then we'd be locked in at The First Horse race.

Ronald Filmer

36:16 - 36:16

Weekend.

Ronald Filmer

36:16 - 36:19

We do what we can have language in there to prevent that.

Peter Johnson

36:25 - 36:31

I think any expansion would also require the approval of the local planning board.

Peter Johnson

36:32 - 36:38

And if the experience in that area is any guide.

Chet Burton

36:39 - 36:39

That's.

Peter Johnson

36:39 - 36:47

Gonna be tough to get tough enough, they've already reduced, kinda scroll here, a 7.5 5 can.

Peter Johnson

36:52 - 36:57

Be a great deal of popular dissatisfaction with that.

Peter Johnson

37:04 - 37:10

The other thing is, is that most of the companies, in case of Perigo Barriga, it's not an operating company.

Peter Johnson

37:10 - 37:12

They simply build that.

Peter Johnson

37:13 - 37:15

And then they sell it to somebody else.

Peter Johnson

37:15 - 37:20

So whether the real, the new permanent owner.

Chet Burton

37:21 - 37:21

Will.

Peter Johnson

37:21 - 37:32

Have any interest at all, in adding on to what I, I'm not sure that I don't know if there's enough History Around the country with this Kind of Whether that case.

Peter Johnson

37:39 - 37:40

Why, and also who?

Peter Johnson

37:41 - 37:46

Who is responsible for setting the assessed valuation?

Peter Johnson

37:46 - 37:50

Would that be the local assessor or the IDA?

Ronald Filmer

37:51 - 37:52

Local assessor.

Peter Johnson

37:52 - 37:53

Ok?

Ronald Filmer

37:54 - 37:59

And in this case, we would probably have to go out higher.

Ronald Filmer

38:01 - 38:03

Affirm to do the evaluation.

Ronald Filmer

38:04 - 38:09

Simply because I I've been told, the assessor's, you know, they have nothing to go by.

Ronald Filmer

38:09 - 38:10

Essentially.

Ronald Filmer

38:10 - 38:11

It's a new business model.

Peter Johnson

38:12 - 38:12

Yeah.

Ronald Filmer

38:13 - 38:14

Nobody really has a lot of experience.

Ronald Filmer

38:15 - 38:21

You know, so you try to hire a firm which costs everybody you know, money, of course, but you get some valuation for that.

Ronald Filmer

38:24 - 38:30

So, there is upfront issues with evaluation, can they can be solved?

Ronald Filmer

38:30 - 38:32

We have to hire some litigation.

Peter Johnson

38:33 - 38:34

Ok.

Chet Burton

38:38 - 38:39

I have a question.

Joe

38:39 - 38:40

For Jeff.

Joe

38:41 - 38:46

Hey, Jeff, is, is the solar equipment all personal property as opposed to real property?

Joe

38:47 - 38:49

I would agree that it's personal property, yeah.

Joe

38:51 - 38:55

Because it's certainly, well your comment that it could be taken off.

Joe

38:55 - 38:59

I mean, real property is something that is sorta anchored to the to the ground.

Joe

38:59 - 39:07

And so, no, I agree with your thought, there, that it would be personal property, Yeah, OK.

Peter Johnson

39:14 - 39:18

What effect does that have on, on assessments, Jeff?

[speaker unknown]:

39:23 - 39:28

I think it just brings a different level of expertise to come in and to to evaluate the value.

[speaker unknown]:

39:31 - 39:35

I mean, normally the starting point would be what the actual cost was.

[speaker unknown]:

39:35 - 39:37

I would, I would believe, but, but.

[speaker unknown]:

39:40 - 39:43

Again, going back to what Joe said, I mean, taking the depreciation.

[speaker unknown]:

39:43 - 40:04

I mean, I mean, you got, you got tax tax value, and you got look like you and, and what the real value is, two, What it's worth and effective for the fact that was sold, but like you said a month, last month's meeting, that you wish to be sold could certainly be.

[speaker unknown]:

40:06 - 40:18

Knocked down considerably if in fact, new technology came out, which would make the latest edition more valuable in the existing one, that was there already work.

[speaker unknown]:

40:19 - 40:21

Who knows considerably less?

[speaker unknown]:

40:21 - 40:21

Yeah.

Joe

40:26 - 40:33

My, my sense is that it that the personal property aspect would not be involved in the assessment.

Joe

40:33 - 40:37

It would be like having vacant land and putting a mobile home on it.

Joe

40:39 - 40:39

Yeah, Yeah.

Joe

40:39 - 40:52

One of these mobile homes, you can take away the mobile home and and anytime you wanted and so when that, when that property is assessed, to me, I mean, I think we'd have to check this out.

Joe

40:52 - 40:56

But I would, that property is assessed, says this vacant land pretty much.

Joe

40:58 - 41:00

I certainly would hope so.

Joe

41:00 - 41:07

Yeah, that's how it would would start, the process of evaluating it for a dollar amount.

Joe

41:18 - 41:38

I'm wondering if that's what those people from large Project and Sharron are looking at and saying, You know, why should we have a pilot based on, you know, a whole bunch of equipment that we could remove, when basically what, what, what the assessed valuation should be based on his vacant land.

Joe

41:38 - 41:39

Or landward?

Joe

41:39 - 41:43

Very minor, minor, Real Property, add ons to it.

Joe

41:43 - 42:01



So, which, which, which would require us for so solar, which would require the municipalities and us to have a dollar amount, because it's different from a manufacturing operation, or other retail or housing or anything like that.

Joe

42:02 - 42:10

Are, like, kinda see gaps, where at least you've got, at a minimum, you've got the pipe and the ground, which certainly isn't going to be taken out, Yeah.

Peter Johnson

42:14 - 42:15

I agree with your logic, joh.

Ronald Filmer

42:20 - 42:34

Well, at this point, I guess, going back to, I guess, we'll, I'll follow up on a couple of these issues at the meeting, then, well, and then schedule again for our meeting the next time.

Ronald Filmer

42:34 - 42:35

Sound.

Peter Johnson

42:36 - 42:37

Very good?

Peter Johnson

42:37 - 42:38

Yeah.

Chet Burton

42:38 - 42:38

In the.

Ronald Filmer

42:38 - 42:41

Meantime, we're, I was able to.

Ronald Filmer

42:41 - 42:44

Or they asked, anybody else would come to this meeting tomorrow.

Ronald Filmer

42:46 - 42:50

Peter, I put you on the spot, so maybe if you want to attend with me if you have time.

Ronald Filmer

42:51 - 42:51

Mom?

Ronald Filmer

42:52 - 42:55

Yeah, It's.

Peter Johnson

42:55 - 42:58

505, OK.

Ronald Filmer

42:59 - 43:01

Laurel in the county basement, they just moved it.

Ronald Filmer

43:03 - 43:04

They move this to the basement.

Ronald Filmer

43:07 - 43:11

As I said, it wasn't going to be too long, as far as your schedule goes, scheduling.

Peter Johnson

43:12 - 43:12

All right.

Ronald Filmer

43:13 - 43:13

But.

Peter Johnson

43:17 - 43:21

Well, I think I can make that, it'll be.

Ronald Filmer

43:21 - 43:30

Interesting, there'll be, as far as I know, to be done, as, one, as a leader, as a chairman of the Energy Committee, and probably 5 or 6 other supervisors.

Ronald Filmer

43:31 - 43:32

Certainly, we'll get a feel.

Ronald Filmer

43:34 - 43:35

For the board.

Peter Johnson

43:37 - 43:37

Ok?

[speaker unknown]:

43:42 - 43:43

Yeah.

Ronald Filmer

43:46 - 43:53

Next item is the Will be Mimicking Grant Program Resolution, which is also a package?

[speaker unknown]:

44:05 - 44:05

Yeah.

Peter Johnson

44:09 - 44:13

That's a very nice, tight resolution, very impressed by.

Ronald Filmer

44:16 - 44:17

My pay for.

Ronald Filmer

44:19 - 44:19

Perhaps.

Joe

44:20 - 44:20

As.

Ronald Filmer

44:20 - 44:21

Well.

Ronald Filmer

44:23 - 44:26

Well, I wanted this done right with the State regulations.

Ronald Filmer

44:29 - 44:31

This way of files, you know?

Ronald Filmer

44:34 - 44:36

And it's done accordingly.

Ronald Filmer

44:38 - 44:52

When a nutshell, the resolution authorizes participation in the program, first reference is, the legislation authorizing the program by the state, formerly called a Disaster Emergency Loan Program.

Ronald Filmer

44:53 - 45:05

It describes the terms, which, as you see any attachment, which is in the back page, the amount of the agent for each commit is not to exceed 10000 grants, not to exceed 2500.

Ronald Filmer

45:06 - 45:11

Program will be administered by the agency, which is a slight change from last time.

Ronald Filmer

45:11 - 45:15

It was a program small, in fact, is a grant program.

Ronald Filmer

45:17 - 45:23

I decided it actually was fair that we could run it and keep it in-house.

Ronald Filmer

45:24 - 45:27

Going further, the agency reviews and approves.

Ronald Filmer

45:29 - 45:32

Qualified applicants based on grant program guidelines.

Ronald Filmer

45:34 - 45:39

And the grant program will provide for mana monitoring and reporting as required under the New York State.

Chet Burton

45:39 - 45:39

Statute.

Ronald Filmer

45:45 - 45:51

The resolution, and open up for comments before, approval or not?

Chet Burton

45:56 - 45:57

This whole thing, just non-profits.

Ronald Filmer

45:57 - 46:04

Rotter, also, for for-profit people, both, I probably will.

Ronald Filmer

46:07 - 46:09

Know, we would do more.

Ronald Filmer

46:09 - 46:10

We plan on doing it.

Ronald Filmer

46:10 - 46:21

And of course, part of the advertisement would be contact sheet promise for lesson, so I'm sure the word will get out there relatively quickly, and then they don't have to do the application.

Ronald Filmer

46:21 - 46:25

It does have to be reviewed formerly by the board in a board meeting.

Ronald Filmer

46:26 - 46:28

Altogether, we can do that.

Ronald Filmer

46:28 - 46:32

Any applicants individually have to come before the board.

Ronald Filmer

46:33 - 46:38

They're basically would be the lead person, but she would make sure everything's there.

Ronald Filmer

46:38 - 46:42

And then we take it to the board for acceptance or rejection.

Chet Burton

46:46 - 46:49

You expect that we'd have a lot of applicants.

Ronald Filmer

46:51 - 46:53

Well, I would think there would be a fair number.

Ronald Filmer

46:53 - 46:55

I think the longer a culvert obviously goes.

Ronald Filmer

46:55 - 47:00

The more cleaning supplies are needed and protection, if needed.

Ronald Filmer

47:00 - 47:06

And it doesn't look like Winter is going to And this at this point, it's going to get worse.

Ronald Filmer

47:06 - 47:07

At least for the temporary.

Ronald Filmer

47:08 - 47:14

And we all know, I mean, the fairgrounds, are, you know, one of the initiators of this or that I'm sure they'll come in.

Ronald Filmer

47:16 - 47:18

1 or two other people have contacted us.

Ronald Filmer

47:19 - 47:22

Yeah, no, I don't know for sure.

Ronald Filmer

47:22 - 47:24

But I would think there would be some demand.

wanda

47:26 - 47:29

You want to put a deadline when they can apply for this run.

wanda

47:31 - 47:35

And then look at all the applications at one time, or how are we going to do that because there's only going to be.

wanda

47:36 - 47:37

No, probably four.

Ronald Filmer

47:38 - 47:46

There'll be first come, first serve, it's actually and there is a deadline want, a sheriff.

Ronald Filmer

47:46 - 47:50

Correct me if I'm wrong, but I believe it's December 31st, 2021?

Sarah Nickle

47:51 - 47:51

That's correct.

Ronald Filmer

47:53 - 48:00

Oh, and the expenses can go back if they haven't been reimbursed by another program to July first.

Ronald Filmer

48:02 - 48:12

Program, I mean, legislator wise, we could have went back a few more months to that, but it crosses our fiscal year and it's just plain Or if we stick with it.

[speaker unknown]:

48:21 - 48:21

Yeah.

Ronald Filmer

48:29 - 48:33

Well, if there's no further comment letters, dilution needs the roll call.

Ronald Filmer

48:37 - 48:38

Vote agreed with me model.

Ronald Filmer

48:40 - 48:43

Can say just burden.

Ronald Filmer

48:43 - 48:43

Yes.

Ronald Filmer

48:45 - 48:46

Wanda King.

Peter Johnson

48:50 - 48:52

Why do you need to, yep.

Peter Johnson

48:52 - 48:54

Yes, Ron, sorry.

Ronald Filmer

48:55 - 48:57

That's OK, Joseph Trapani.

Joe

48:58 - 48:58

Yes.

Ronald Filmer

48:59 - 49:00

Peter Johnson.

Peter Johnson

49:01 - 49:01

Yes.

Ronald Filmer

49:02 - 49:04

Then, is it absent?

Ronald Filmer

49:08 - 49:10

At this point, the resolution passes unanimously.

Ronald Filmer

49:13 - 49:16

And we will just, we will let everybody know when we start out.

Ronald Filmer

49:16 - 49:19

We'll probably put that out relatively soon.

Ronald Filmer

49:21 - 49:23

Next board meeting, we'll have an applicant.

Ronald Filmer

49:27 - 49:29

Next item is other Business.

Ronald Filmer

49:29 - 49:32

Does anybody have to play up?

Ronald Filmer

49:32 - 49:33

Yes.

Sarah Nickle

49:37 - 49:39

I think we also have emotion on that.

Sarah Nickle

49:39 - 49:42

Don't wait, in the front page this page.

Ronald Filmer

49:48 - 49:51

You're correct, sir.

Ronald Filmer

49:51 - 49:51

Thank you.

Ronald Filmer

49:51 - 49:53

Should have been a motion.

Ronald Filmer

49:53 - 49:55

For the resolution to be offered.

Chet Burton

49:56 - 49:57

So moved!

Peter Johnson

49:59 - 50:00

Second.

Ronald Filmer

50:01 - 50:02

Second by Peter, thank you.

Ronald Filmer

50:10 - 50:12

Thanks, they're correct.

Ronald Filmer

50:15 - 50:19

The other one is on your agenda, but as far as the next meeting date.

Ronald Filmer

50:21 - 50:23

Anybody have any ideas?

Ronald Filmer

50:23 - 50:25

It's now October 28th.

Ronald Filmer

50:29 - 50:33

Due to the due to the solar and middleburg Meadows and SSI.

Ronald Filmer

50:36 - 50:40

Everybody's schedule would permit, and I don't know that, but maybe the week before Thanksgiving.

Ronald Filmer

50:44 - 50:46

Let me get over, I guess the week after, or it could be all right.

Ronald Filmer

50:57 - 51:00

Three weeks is too soon, and maybe maybe wait five weeks.

Chet Burton

51:05 - 51:08

So you're taking a week of the 30th.

Chet Burton

51:20 - 51:23

Shall we shoot for December?

Chet Burton

51:24 - 51:25

Second or third?

Chet Burton

51:30 - 51:34

The first year second, I was thinking, second.

Joe

51:39 - 51:40

What day of the week is that?

Joe

51:40 - 51:41

Oh, I gotta.

Chet Burton

51:41 - 51:42

Count when slash second.

Chet Burton

51:46 - 51:46



Right.

Joe

51:51 - 51:52

Yeah.

Ronald Filmer

51:53 - 51:56

Tuesday or Wednesday, which one you prefer?

wanda

51:57 - 51:58

Matter.

Chet Burton

52:01 - 52:02

Either one.

Ronald Filmer

52:06 - 52:06

Well, let's look.

Ronald Filmer

52:06 - 52:08

Same at Tuesday than the first.

Joe

52:10 - 52:10

Yeah.

Peter Johnson

52:15 - 52:16

Usual time.

Ronald Filmer

52:20 - 52:21

Yes, Peter.

Ronald Filmer

52:29 - 52:31

That's been inadequate.

Ronald Filmer

52:46 - 52:48

Make a motion and have a motion to adjourn.

Chet Burton

52:51 - 52:52

Seconded.

Ronald Filmer

52:54 - 52:55

Sarah, I'll get that.